

Pesticide Regulation: Enforcement Response Policy/Regulation ERP

**Department of
Pesticide Regulation**

November 2006

Enforcement Response - History

Together, DPR and CACs developed the Enforcement Response Policy (ERP) - ENF 2005-25

- **Inconsistent enforcement from county to county**
- **High profile cases of exposure**
- **Attention of law makers – SB455 didn't pass, but had strong message from governor.**

Why Create a New Policy?

- **Statewide consensus – need more or higher level penalties for flagrant or repeat violators**
- **Improve statewide consistency and enforcement integrity**
- **Provide guidance on how to**
 1. **Classify the type of violation and**
 2. **Consistently determine the appropriate action and use progressive enforcement response.**

Enforcement Response Policy (ERP)

- **It recrafted the original Enforcement Guidelines Document to improve consistent responses.**
- **Sets forth an enforcement response system for penalties that escalates for recurrent violations.**
- **Still allows discretion by CAC in classifying violations. However, responses to violations will now be standardized.**

County Agricultural Commissioner Implementation Options

- **Consider administrative civil penalty actions for violations some CACs have not historically taken enforcement action.**
 - **Is there a reasonable possibility of creating a health or environmental effect?**

County Agricultural Commissioner Implementation Options

- **Health hazard and environmental effects violations (Ag and Structural) such as:**
 - **Personal protective equipment**
 - **Early re-entry into treated areas**
 - **Back flow prevention**
 - **Conflict with label or permit conditions**
 - **Non-target or off site contamination**
 - **Unregistered use**
 - **Unlicensed**
 - **Similar violations**

Examples of Health and Environmental Effects

- PPE
- Closed System
- Posting
- Drift

Enforcement Response: What's New?

- **Fewer chances before fining**
 - **Fine on first offense for all “possible” health or environmental effects**
 - **The County Agricultural Commissioner must justify for not fining (Decision Report)**
 - **DPR will review the CACs’ programs for consistency with the new (proposed) regulation 3 CCR Section 6128**

Enforcement Response: What's New?

- **Mandatory increased penalties for “Repeat” violations**
 - **Multiple incidents within two years**
 - **All incidents of violations that have a possibility of creating a health or an environmental effect are considered**

Enforcement Response: What's The Same?

- **Repeat Violations are grouped broadly**
 - **Looking back two years**
 - **Any incident of violation or non-compliance that could have resulted in a possible or actual health or environmental effect**
 - **Same Class of violation**

What Can We Expect?

- **For Multiple incidents within a two-year period:**
 - **County Agricultural Commissioner must fine or take other significant enforcement action on A/Serious & B/Moderate classes**
 - **Increased penalties, more and higher fines**

Enforcement Response: What Else?

- **Other penalties will be considered for the most flagrant violators:**
 - **Criminal prosecution**
 - **Denial, suspension or revocation of permits or registration**
 - **Referral to DPR or Structural Board for licensing or other action**

New Enforcement Response Policy

Enforcement Responses

- Actual health or environmental hazard
- Class A / **Serious** fines
- Violations of a lawful order of the CAC
- Repeat violations of Class B violations
- **Fine Range**
 - **\$700-\$5,000**

New Enforcement Response Policy

Enforcement Responses

- Reasonable possibility of creating a health or environmental effect
- Class B / Moderate Fines
- Repeat Violations of Class C violations
- **Fine Range**
 - **\$250-\$1000**

New Enforcement Response Policy

Enforcement Responses

- No threat to health, property or the environment
- Class C / Minor Fines
- Violations not included in Class A or B
- **Fine Range**
 - **\$50-\$400**




Decision Report



- **No Prior Violations**
- **Complete Description of Incident**
- **Class of Violation**
- **Time Tables**
- **Ensure Consistency**
- **Concurrence by Director**

Proposed Regulations in 2006

- DPR proposed regulations based on ERP
- Proposed regulations are posted on DPR website at www.cdpr.ca.gov
- In effect early December
- 2 year ERP Committee



Getting the Job Done

- **Goal is to have clear, consistent Enforcement from County to County**
- **The Department will be tracking actions taken since the ERP**
- **Any inconsistencies will be documented and corrections put in County Work Plans**

For more information

- www.cdpr.ca.gov
- **Contact your DPR Regional Office**

NRO Ralph Shields

rshields@cdpr.ca.gov

CRO Al Lomeli

alomeli@cdpr.ca.gov

SRO Jahan Motakef

jmotakef@cdpr.ca.gov